The Dr. Murrey Report
17 January 2025

Answers to Wrong Questions

Friends,

I recently received a few questions about Facebook's "Are We Dating The Same Guy?" ("AWDTSG") Groups which I answer below:



Quote for article on Are We Dating the Same Guy Message 6 of 6

From Katherine Brodsky
To 2@lucasmurrey.io
Date Mon 21:43
Priority High

Dear Dr. Murrey,

I am working on a story for Pirate Wires and I was wondering you might be willing to answer a few questions about what it's like to be written about in a "Are we dating the same guy" group and your attempts to defend yourself and how it affected your life. I would love to include that perspective.

I'm hoping to present a nuanced understanding of these groups and the issues around them. Obviously the impact on men who may have been unfairly tarnished is important to include.

Please let me know.

Thank you,

Katherine

KATHERINE BRODSKY / Freelance Writer
The Washington Post, WIRED, The Guardian, Newsweek, Variety, Esquire, The Independent, Vulture, Mashable, etc.
@mysteriouskat



Re: Quote for article on Are We Dating the Same Guy Message 2 of 6

From Katherine Brodsky
To 2@lucasmurrey.io
Date Thu 16:50

Great, here are my questions for you:

For a lot of women the groups serve as a way to protect themselves, but there are bound to be innocent men who pay the price too. Many people feel it's collateral damage and the benefits outweigh getting this wrong sometimes. What's your response to that?

Why did you decide to fight this in court?

What age should I put down for you? I saw 49 but want to confirm it's accurate.

Thank you!

Question 1:

"For a lot of women the groups serve as a way to protect themselves, but there are bound to be innocent men who pay the price too. Many people feel it's collateral damage and the benefits outweigh getting this wrong sometimes. What's your response to that?"

If the "lot of women" to whom you refer were an authenticate group I would sympathize with your question. Hypothetically then I would reiterate the facts that

women need to be protected and innocent men like myself are harmed, and then explore the pros and cons.

However, your question is misleading. Most women who use Facebook's AWDTSG groups have no interest in protecting women. Instead these women are addicted to taking pleasure in the pain of others. This includes a *Schadenfreude* in regard to others who these women unconsciously perceive to be of greater value then themselves.

But in truth and actual fact, the "lot of women" to whom you refer represent only a minority of women. Most women on earth have no interest in Facebook nor its groups whatsoever. This raises the question concerning the projection of imaginary communities in your question. The women who support AWDTSG have simply been suckered into a United States and Israeli military operation. The goal of this operation is to control and harm the populace for a handful of trillionaires. Facebook does this by promoting anonymous accounts who harass and harm men and women. This raises the further question: Where can men and women assemble online, if not Facebook?

I propose a solution based on the BSV-blockchain that will come out soon called SickoChat at sickoscoop.com/chat. It will remedy the Facebook-problem in two ways. First, it will not exploit and abuse its users' data, thus stopping the superrich from their surveillance state. Second, it will not allow anonymous harassment and harm, thus stopping the trillionaires from inciting chaos. In other words, SickoChat will be different from Facebook in that it will promote genuine conversation. Because most people are good and smart, all they need is a place where they can communicate safely, free of Facebook's above-noted psychological operations.

Question 2:

"What did you decide to fight this in court?"

On the one had, the American legal system has been corrupted to the point where anyone seeking civil justice is like a mouse being electrocuted for going down the wrong pathway of an ineluctable maze. You are supposed to learn not to do it. On the other hand, the United States constitution and bill of rights have a purity of spirit that remains rare in history. In contrast to Europe and much of the rest of

the world whose nation-states have no memory of having ever tasted freedom, the crystallization of America occurred prior to the Rockefeller and Rothschild ("R&R") stranglehold. Although the United States legal system has also been corrupted, the fact that it retains a living memory of said flavor of freedom in contrast to other countries infected with R&R central banks and world-bank loans means that one can still legally expose and shame this money-sickness.

Please check back soon as I will be providing updates more regularly.

Thank you friends,
good evening and good luck